

**4297. Adulteration and misbranding of adhesive strips. U. S. v. 198 Boxes**

\* \* \*. (F. D. C. No. 35642. Sample No. 59467-L.)

**LIBEL FILED:** September 17, 1953, Middle District of North Carolina.

**ALLEGED SHIPMENT:** On or about August 11, 1953, by the Handy Pad Supply Co., from Worcester, Mass.

**PRODUCT:** 198 boxes of *adhesive strips* at Lexington, N. C.

**LABEL, IN PART:** (Box) "100 Ideal Adhesive Strips 1" x 3¼" Sterilized  
\* \* \* Southern First Aid Supply Co., Lexington, N. C."

**NATURE OF CHARGE:** Adulteration, Section 501 (b), the article purported to be "Adhesive Bandage," a drug the name of which is recognized in the United States Pharmacopeia, an official compendium, and its quality and purity fell below the official standard since the article was not sterile but was contaminated with living micro-organisms.

Misbranding, Section 502 (a), the label statement "Sterilized" was false and misleading.

**DISPOSITION:** November 9, 1953. Default decree of condemnation and destruction.

**DRUGS AND DEVICES ACTIONABLE BECAUSE OF FALSE AND  
MISLEADING CLAIMS\***

**4298. Misbranding of Gramer's Sulgly-Minol. U. S. v. 138 Bottles, etc. (F. D. C. No. 36114. Sample No. 20107-L.)**

**LIBEL FILED:** On or about November 9, 1953, District of Maryland.

**ALLEGED SHIPMENT:** On or about October 11, 1953, by the Walter W. Gramer Co., from Minneapolis, Minn.

**PRODUCT:** 138 bottles of *Gramer's Sulgly-Minol* at Bethesda, Md., together with a number of leaflets entitled "Gramer's Sulgly-Minol An Arthritis Treatment Of Outstanding Merit," "Gramer's Sulgly-Minol Sulphur Solution," and "Now Try Gramer's Sulgly-Minol."

**LABEL, IN PART:** (Bottle) "Gramer's Sulgly-Minol Contents 4 Fluid Ounces A solution of Sulphur, Glycerin, Sulphurated Lime and Alcohol 6%."

**NATURE OF CHARGE:** Misbranding, Section 502 (a), certain statements on the bottle label and in the above-mentioned leaflets were false and misleading. The statements represented and suggested that the article, diluted with water and used as a foot bath, applied to the soles of the feet, or used as a tub bath, was an adequate and effective treatment for arthritis, rheumatism, and related ailments, and would prevent them; that it was an adequate and effective treatment for pains in the hips, legs, heels, ankles, joints of shoulders, arms, neck, back, and collarbone, and muscles in the back, legs, or feet; and that it was an adequate and effective treatment for boils and would purify the blood. The article, when used as directed, was not an adequate and effective treatment for such diseases and conditions, and it would not fulfill the promises of benefit stated and implied.

**DISPOSITION:** December 8, 1953. Default decree of condemnation and destruction.

---

\*See also Nos. 4294-4297.